

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,472	02/11/2002	Bernhard Allgaier	2368.129	4361
41288 75	590 01/19/2005		EXAMINER	
PENDORF & CUTLIFF 5111 MEMORIAL HIGHWAY			LILLIS, EILEEN DUNN	
TAMPA, FL 33634-7356			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/073,472	,472 ALLGAIER, BERNHARD				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Raymond B. Johnson	3652	<u> </u>			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma on. to a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) It is statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this core ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 March 2004.					
_		This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,9,15,16,18 and 20-35</u> is/ar 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>27-29 and 35</u> is Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,9,18,20-31 and 35</u> is/are re Claim(s) <u>15,16 and 32-34</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	/are withdrawn from conside ejected. to.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	iminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	= ' '	•				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control			٠,			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B see the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National S	Stage			
Attachment		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/073,472

Art Unit: 3652

Claims 27-29 and 34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on March 30, 2004.

Applicant's election with traverse of species A (fig 1-6) in the reply filed on March 30, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all claims are generic. This is not found persuasive because the mere presence of generic claims does not mean that separate species are not disclosed. The disclosure of separate species is evidence that there would be a burden on the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because of the following reasons:

The description of figure 9 relative to figure 8 appears to be improper because a)

B-B should be 9-9 and figure 9 does not show the view depicted by the arrows in figure

8.

The letters A-A adjacent figure 7 lack a view direction and meaning; the letters A-A in figure 6 should be replaced by numerals that represent the view of the corresponding figure.

The numerals and lead lines in the figures of the drawings are confusing because they are to close.

Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing

Application/Control Number: 10/073,472

Art Unit: 3652

sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 6, 9, 15, 16, 18, 20-26, 30-33 and 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The numerous recitations such as "or the like", e.g., claim 1, line 3; "or frame work-like, claim 1, line 4; and in other claims, e.g., 15-16 render the claims indefinite. The language "seated translationally displaceable" on line 5 of claim 1 is confusing.

Art Unit: 3652

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond B. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-308-3248. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eileen D. Lillis

SPE, TC 3600
